UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,312	09/30/2003	Hao Pan	SLA1347 (7146.0167)	8186
55648 KEVIN L. RUS	7590 09/23/201 SSELL	EXAMINER		
CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP			DHARIA, PRABODH M	
1600 ODSTOWER 601 SW SECOND AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/676,312	PAN ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Commany	Examiner	Art Unit
	PRABODH M. DHARIA	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>PRABODH M. DHARIA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kurt Rohlfs.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 September 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: None.	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Prabodh M Dharia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner attempted to contact applicant 's representative Kurt Rohlfs to resolve issue regarding Claims 1 and 2. Applicant;s attorney did not answer the phone call and EXaminer left message suggesting if applicant's representative did not reply to examiner's request; Examiner will mail another office action. Applicant's representative Kurt Rohlfs failed to reply per Examiner request and Examiner did post another office action on 09-20-2010 to be mailed.